FU-Ph 10/2016 (07)
NORDITA-2016-110
ZMP-HH/16-24

Coset construction of AdS particle dynamics

Martin Heinze,[a] George Jorjadze,[b,c] Luka Megrelidze,[d]

[a] *II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22671 Hamburg, Germany*

[b] *Free University of Tbilisi, Agmashenebeli Alley 240, 0159, Tbilisi, Georgia*

[c] *Razmadze Mathematical Institute of TSU, Tamarashvili 6, 0177, Tbilisi, Georgia*

[d] *Ilia State University, K. Cholokashvili Ave 3/5, 0162, Tbilisi, Georgia*

martin.heinze@desy.de, george.jorjadze@physik.hu-berlin.de
luka.megrelidze.1@iliauni.edu.ge,

arXiv:1610.08212v2 [hep-th] 7 Nov 2016

Abstract

We analyze dynamics of the AdS_{N+1} particle realized on the coset $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$. Hamiltonian reduction provides the physical phase space in terms of the coadjoint orbit obtained by boosting a timelike element of $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$. We show equivalence of this approach to geometric quantization and to the $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariant oscillator description, for which the boost generators entail a complicated operator ordering. As an alternative scheme, we introduce dual oscillator variables and derive their algebra at the classical and the quantum level. This simplifies the calculations of the commutators for the boost generators and leads to unitary irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ for all admissible values of the mass parameter. We furthermore discuss an $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariant supersymmetric extension of the oscillator quantization, with its realization for superparticles in AdS_2 and AdS_3 given by recent works.

Contents

1 Introduction and Conclusion **1**

2 G/H Coset Model **4**

3 $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ Coset Model **6**

4 Dual Oscillators **10**

5 Supersymmetric Extension **12**

A Particle Dynamics in AdS_{N+1} **16**

B Parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ Boosts **17**

C Canonical Parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ Generators **18**

D More on Dual Oscillators **19**

1 Introduction and Conclusion

During the last decade the AdS/CFT correspondence and its connection to integrable models have immensely improved our understanding of both conformal field theories (CFT) as well as string theories in Anti-de Sitter space (AdS), see the reviews [1]. To test this conjectured duality one major task is to compare the CFT conformal dimensions with the string energy spectrum E. In particular, the best studied duality pair, the correspondence between $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory and the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring, appears to be quantum integrable in the planar limit, which allowed for a solution of the spectral problem through the mirror Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) [2] as well as the Quantum Spectral Curve [3].

One might hope that this impressive progress would elucidate how quantization of AdS string theories can be achieved. However, even a derivation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring spectrum from first principles is still an open problem. The spectrum of the corresponding supergravity, *viz.* the 1/2-BPS sector of the superstring, is well-known and has been shown to match with the spectrum of the *massless* $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superparticle [4], see also [5] as well as the recent work on the supertwistor formulation [6].

To obtain the spectrum of more-involved string states, since the seminal works [7–10] it has been a standard approach to quantize semi-classical string solutions as well as the fluctuations around them. This technique is however only valid if some of the $\mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ charges diverge in 't Hooft coupling as $\sqrt{\lambda}$, rendering the string state *heavy*, $E\propto\sqrt{\lambda}$. For *light* string states expansion of the Lagrangian formally breaks down and it is a renowned challenge to obtain the spectrum beyond the leading order, $E\propto\lambda^{1/4}$ [9]. These difficulties can be traced back to the particular behavior of the center-of-mass degrees of freedom [11] as well as incompatibility of customary light-cone gauge choices.

In [12] an alternative scheme has been applied to a pulsating string solutions in bosonic $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Using static gauge [13][1] it was shown that the calculation of the string energy spectrum reduces to the problem of *massive* AdS_5 particle dynamics, with the mass spectrum determined by internal string degrees of freedom. Allowing heuristically for supersymmetric effects then provided an operator ordering, resulting in a match of the string energy with the Konishi anomalous dimension to the first quantum corrections of order $\lambda^{-1/4}$.

As [12] describes the $SO(2,4) \times SO(6)$ isometry group orbit of a pulsating string it appears promising to apply the gauge invariant Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau method of coadjoint orbits also to other string solutions. In [15] we followed this proposal and quantized the bosonic $AdS_3 \times S^3$ spinning string solutions of [10], yielding known results for the special case of bosonic point particles [16] as well as correct asymptotics for extended spinning strings. To acquire a match with the integrability based predictions beyond the leading order it became clear that supersymmetry has to be treated properly.

Therefore, as a first example for quantization of superisometry group orbits, the coadjoint orbit method was applied to the AdS_2 superparticle on $OSP(1|2)/SO(1,1)$ [17]. The resulting Noether charges formed a Holstein-Primakoff-like realization of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, where for the massless case however κ-symmetry left an insufficient amount of fermions to quantize the model.

Recently, this problem has been circumvented by studying the AdS_3 superparticle on $OSP(1|2) \times OSP(1|2)/SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ [18]. Here, calculation of the symplectic form as well as of the Noether charges naturally split up into left and right chiral sectors, yielding a quantum realization of $\mathfrak{osp}_l(1|2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}_r(1|2)$. For the massless particle it was then found that the superisometry algebra extends to the corresponding superconformal algebra $\mathfrak{osp}(2|4)$, with its 19 charges realized by all possible real quadratic combinations of the phase space variables.

We motivated the above program with the goal to understand quantization of the type IIB superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and semi-classical string states thereof. This is however not the only area of application. Currently, the machinery developed for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is adapted to integrable string theories in less supersymmetric spaces [19], that is besides from $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ [20] also to $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times M^6$ [21] and $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M^4$ [22], see also the review [23]. Therefore, the works [17] and [18] are naturally viewed as truncations of the superstrings in the latter two backgrounds and it seems appealing to generalize them to AdS_2 and AdS_3 superparticles on the corresponding cosets build from the supergroup $SU(1,1|2)$, $PSU(1,1|2)$, and more generally $D(2,1;\alpha)$, see also the related works [24] and [25, 26] and references therein.

In the present work we are though following an orthogonal direction of research. Instead of increasing the amount of supersymmetry another possible generalization is to raise the dimension of the AdS space. Our main focus will be on the massive bosonic AdS_{N+1} particle. Although quantization of this system has been achieved before [27–32], the realization of the supersymmetric backgrounds [19] in terms of semi-symmetric spaces demands that quantization should be understood in a scheme corresponding to the coset description $AdS_{N+1} = SO(2,N)/SO(1,N)$.

We will start by applying the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau method to particle dynamics on gen-

[1] For preliminary results on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring in static gauge as well as a derivation of superparticle canonical variables to quadratic order in fermions see also [14].

eral bosonic cosets G/H, where we will show explicitly how gauge invariant Hamiltonian reduction gives rise to the physical phase space. For the restriction to $\mathrm{AdS}_{N+1} = \mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ the physical phase space of the massive particle is given by the coadjoint orbit obtained by boosting a temporal element of $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$. By this, we rederive in a succinct manner the results of geometric quantization [30] and the oscillator description [31], where the $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ charges J_{AB} take a form manifestly covariant under the spatial subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(N) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2,N)$.[2]

Additionally, we propose an alternative quantization scheme in terms of a set of dual oscillator variables, which in comparison to [31] simplifies the calculation of the commutators of boost generators. This simplification can be attributed to the algebra of these dual oscillators, which we derive at the classical as well as at the quantum level. To our knowledge, this algebra has not been discussed previously in the literature.

With the goal to understand orbit method quantization of particles on the supersymmetric backgrounds [19] it seems promising to try to find a supersymmetric extension of the found $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra which preserves the spatial $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariance. At the end of this work, we therefore revisit the results for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ AdS_2 [17] and AdS_3 [18] superparticles and rewrite them in the desired $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariant form. This yields an ansatz for the supercharges of an $\mathcal{N}=1$ AdS_{N+1} superparticle. We observe however that due to a certain constraint on the $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ gamma matrices, or equivalently due to absence of an R-symmetry, this ansatz can only be consistent for $N \leq 3$. While the cases $N=1$ and $N=2$ are covered by [17] and [18], showing consistency for $N=3$ is still an open problem, even at the classical level. It would be interesting to compare the obtained ansatz for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ AdS_4 superparticle with the supertwistor formulation [6].

An idea similar to the above extension has been adopted in [26], where the dynamical realization on $\mathrm{SU}(1,1|2)$ has been generalized to $\mathrm{SU}(1,1|N)$. Furthermore, if [18] can be extended to AdS_3 superparticles with a higher amount of supersymmetry, generalization of its spatial $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ to an $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariance should again lead to an ansatz for higher dimensional AdS superparticles with the same amount of supersymmetry. As the $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric extension in this work limits to the bosonic charges as discussed in [30,31], for other AdS_{N+1} superparticles the alternative quantization scheme in terms of dual oscillators might prove useful.

Such AdS superparticles will have a non-vanishing R-symmetry. Hence, another congenial generalization of the present work would be to study orbit method quantization for the bosonic $\mathrm{AdS}_{N+1} \times \mathrm{S}^M$ particle realized on the coset $(\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)) \times (\mathrm{SO}(M+1)/\mathrm{SO}(M))$. Preliminary studies show that the physical phase space of this system is realized not by a single orbit but rather by a family of orbits parametrized by a canonical pair 'shifting' mass/momentum form AdS to the sphere, which resembles the setting of the BMN string [8].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we apply the method of coadjoint orbits to general bosonic cosets G/H, which is then restricted to the case of $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ in Section 3. In Section 4 we propose an alternative quantization scheme in terms of dual oscillators. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss a supersymmetric extension of the coset scheme and its realization for AdS_2 and AdS_3. Some technical details are collected in four appendices.

[2] More precisely, J_{AB} are even covariant under the compact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(N) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2,N)$.

2 G/H Coset Model

Let G be a semi-simple Lie group and H its semi-simple subgroup with dimensions N_G and N_H, respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ the corresponding Lie algebras and we also introduce $\mathfrak{h}_\perp$ as the orthogonal completion of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$.

The commutation relations of the basis vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$[\mathbf{t}_a, \mathbf{t}_b] = f_{ab}{}^c \, \mathbf{t}_c \tag{2.1}$$

define the structure constants which provide a non-degenerated Killing form with metric tensor $\rho_{ab} := \langle \mathbf{t}_a \, \mathbf{t}_b \rangle = f_{ad}{}^c f_{bc}{}^d$. One can choose a basis where $\mathbf{t}_\alpha$, with $\alpha = (1, \ldots, N_H)$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and the remaining $N_G - N_H$ elements $\mathbf{t}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, with $\bar{\alpha} = (N_H + 1, \ldots, N_G)$, belong to $\mathfrak{h}_\perp$. Expanding $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ in this basis, $V = V^\alpha \, \mathbf{t}_\alpha + V^{\bar{\alpha}} \, \mathbf{t}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, one obtains

$$V^{\bar{\alpha}} V_{\bar{\alpha}} = \langle V \, V \rangle - V^\alpha V_\alpha \ , \tag{2.2}$$

with $V_\alpha = \rho_{\alpha\beta} V^\beta$ and $V_{\bar{\alpha}} = \rho_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} V^{\bar{\beta}}$. Due to (2.2), $V^{\bar{\alpha}} V_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is invariant under the adjoint transformations $V \mapsto h \, V \, h^{-1}$, with $h \in H$.

The dynamics of a particle in the coset construction is defined by the action

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\frac{\langle (\dot{g} \, g^{-1} - \mathcal{A})^2 \rangle}{2e} - \frac{e m^2}{2} \right) \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $g \in G$, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{h}$, m is the particle mass and e plays the role of einbein. This action is invariant under the worldline reparameterization $\tau \mapsto \tilde{\tau} = \varphi(\tau)$, together with

$$g(\tau) \mapsto \tilde{g}(\varphi) = g(\tau) \ , \quad \mathcal{A}(\tau) \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}(\tau)/\dot{\varphi}(\tau) \ , \quad e(\tau) \mapsto \tilde{e}(\varphi) = e(\tau)/\dot{\varphi}(\tau) \ . \tag{2.4}$$

Another gauge symmetry of (2.3) is given by the local transformation

$$g(\tau) \mapsto h(\tau) \, g(\tau) \ , \qquad \mathcal{A}(\tau) \mapsto h(\tau) \mathcal{A}(\tau) \, h^{-1}(\tau) + \dot{h}(\tau) \, h^{-1}(\tau) \ , \tag{2.5}$$

with $h(\tau) \in H$. The right multiplication $g \mapsto g \, f$, with $f \in G$ being τ-independent, is a global symmetry of the action (2.3) and the corresponding Noether charge reads

$$R = \frac{g^{-1} \dot{g} - g^{-1} \mathcal{A} \, g}{e} \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Expanding $\mathcal{A}$ in the basis $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^\alpha \mathbf{t}_\alpha$ and varying (2.3) with respect to $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ we find $\mathcal{A}^\alpha = V^\alpha$, where $V = \dot{g} \, g^{-1}$ is the Maurer-Cartan form. The insertion of this $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ back in (2.3) leads to the gauge invariant action written solely in terms of g, such that by (2.2) we end up with

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\frac{V^{\bar{\alpha}} V_{\bar{\alpha}}}{2e} - \frac{e m^2}{2} \right) \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The invariance of this action under the gauge transformations $g(\tau) \mapsto h(\tau) \, g(\tau)$ follows from the orthogonality conditions $\langle \mathbf{t}_\alpha \, \mathbf{t}_{\bar{\alpha}} \rangle = 0$ and from the above mentioned invariance of the right hand side of (2.2) under the adjoint action of H.

In the first order formalism the action (2.3) is equivalent to

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \Big[\langle\, L\, \dot{g}\, g^{-1} \,\rangle - \frac{e}{2} \left(\langle\, L\, L \,\rangle + m^2 \right) - \langle\, \mathcal{A} L \,\rangle \Big] \ , \tag{2.8}$$

with $L \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that the variation of L in (2.8) yields the equation

$$eL = \dot{g}\, g^{-1} - \mathcal{A} \ , \tag{2.9}$$

and its insertion back in (2.8) reproduces the initial action (2.3). This shows the equivalence between the actions (2.3) and (2.8). Equation (2.9) also relates L and R by

$$R = g^{-1}\, L\, g \ . \tag{2.10}$$

Writing the last term of (2.8) as $\mathcal{A}^\alpha L_\alpha$, with $L_\alpha = \langle\, \mathbf{t}_\alpha\, L \,\rangle$, we find that $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ play the role of Lagrange multipliers, as does the einbein e. Their variations provide the constraints

$$\langle L\, L \rangle + m^2 = 0 \ , \qquad L_\alpha = 0 \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, one gets a constraint dynamical system on T^*G with phase space variables $(g,\, L)$. The symplectic form $\mathrm{d}\langle\, L\, \mathrm{d}g\, g^{-1} \,\rangle$ leads to the Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} &\{g, g\} = 0 \ , \qquad &&\{L_a, g\} = t_a\, g \ , \qquad &&\{L_a, L_b\} = -f_{ab}{}^c\, L_c \ , \\ &\{R_a, g\} = g\, t_a \ , \qquad &&\{L_a, R_b\} = 0 \ , \qquad &&\{R_a, R_b\} = f_{ab}{}^c\, R_c \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

with $L_a = \langle\, t_a\, L \,\rangle$ and $R_a = \langle\, t_a\, L \,\rangle$. Note that the structure constants with down indices $f_{abc} = f_{ab}{}^d\, \rho_{dc}$ are completely antisymmetric, which yields $\{\langle L\, L \rangle, L_a\} = 0$. Hence, (2.11) defines the first class constraints and they generate the gauge transformations (2.4)-(2.5).

The dynamical integrals R_a are gauge invariant since they have vanishing Poisson brackets with the constraints. Another set of gauge invariant variables are given by the coordinates on G/H. A complete set of gauge invariant variables defines the physical phase space and the Hamiltonian reduction to this space prepares the system for quantization. After quantization the operators R_a have to form a unitary representation of G.

Another possibility to simplify the reduction procedure is to use gauge fixing. For this, one has to impose $N_H + 1$ conditions to fix the gauge completely, which defines a $2(N_G - N_H - 1)$ dimensional physical phase space. Details of the reduction procedure essentially depends on the structure of G and H.

In some cases the gauge freedom allows to choose L in the form $L = m\mathbf{t}_0$, where $\mathbf{t}_0$ is a fixed unit element of $\mathfrak{h}_\perp$. The dynamical integrals R_a are then on the coadjoint orbit of $m\mathbf{t}_0$ and by (2.8) and (2.10) one gets the Kirillov-Kostant symplectic form

$$\omega = m\, \mathrm{d}\langle \mathbf{t}_0\, \mathrm{d}g\, g^{-1} \rangle \ , \tag{2.13}$$

which leads to unitary irreducible representations of G.

In the next section we consider the case $G = \mathrm{SO}(2, N)$ and $H = \mathrm{SO}(1, N)$, for which (2.13) holds. The corresponding coset model G/H describes the dynamics of a particle in AdS_{N+1} and leads to the high weight unitary irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(2, N)$.

3 $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ Coset Model

Let us consider $\mathbb{R}^{2,N}$ with coordinates X^A, $A = 0', 0, 1, \ldots, N$, and the metric tensor $\eta_{AB} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,-1,1,\ldots,1)$. The linear isometry transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{2,N}$ are given by $X^A \mapsto g^A{}_B\, X^B$, where the matrix $g^A{}_B \in \mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ fulfills the identity

$$g^A{}_C\, g_B{}^C = \delta^A_B = g_C{}^A\, g^C{}_B \ , \tag{3.1}$$

with $g_A{}^B = \eta_{AC}\,\eta^{BD} g^C{}_D$. Using the infinitesimal form $g^A{}_B = \delta^A_B + \epsilon^A{}_B$, one finds $\epsilon^{AB} + \epsilon^{BA} = 0$ and by this skew-symmetric property one gets $\epsilon^A{}_B = \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^{CD}(\mathbf{t}_{CD})^A{}_B$, with

$$(\mathbf{t}_{CD})^A{}_B = \delta^A_C\,\eta_{BD} - \delta^A_D\,\eta_{BC} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

Here, A and B are matrix indices while CD enumerate matrices forming a basis of $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$, *i.e.* they satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{t}_{AB}, \mathbf{t}_{CD}] = \eta_{AD}\mathbf{t}_{BC} + \eta_{BC}\mathbf{t}_{AD} - \eta_{AC}\mathbf{t}_{BD} - \eta_{BD}\mathbf{t}_{AC} \ . \tag{3.3}$$

The matrices $\mathbf{t}_{0'k}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{0k}$, for $k = 1,\ldots,N$, generate boosts, while the generators of the compact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(2)\times\mathrm{SO}(N)$ are $\mathbf{t}_{0'0}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{kl}$.

The inner product in $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ introduced by the normalized Killing form,

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{AB}\,\mathbf{t}_{CD}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathbf{t}_{AB}\,\mathbf{t}_{CD}) = \eta_{AD}\eta_{BC} - \eta_{AC}\eta_{BD} \ , \tag{3.4}$$

provides the following norms of the basis vectors

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{0'k}\,\mathbf{t}_{0'k}\rangle = 1 = \langle \mathbf{t}_{0k}\,\mathbf{t}_{0k}\rangle \ , \qquad \langle \mathbf{t}_{0'0}\,\mathbf{t}_{0'0}\rangle = -1 = \langle \mathbf{t}_{kl}\,\mathbf{t}_{kl}\rangle \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Here, $k \neq l$ and we assume no summation over the indices k and l. Note that two different basis vectors are orthogonal to each other, *i.e.* $\mathbf{t}_{AB}$ form an orthonormal basis. Then the inner product of two elements $U = \frac{1}{2}\,U^{AB}\mathbf{t}_{AB}$ and $V = \frac{1}{2}\,V^{AB}\mathbf{t}_{AB}$ is given by $\langle U\,V\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\,U^{AB}V_{BA}$ and $\langle \mathbf{t}_{AB}\,V\rangle = V_{BA}$, where $V_{BA} = \eta_{BC}\eta_{AD}V^{CD}$.

We choose the $\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ subgroup by the matrices with the block structure

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.6}$$

where we left the vanishing upper-right $1\times N$ and lower-left $N\times 1$ blocks blank and Λ^μ_ν are Lorentz matrices with $\mu,\nu = 0,1,\ldots,N$. The matrices $\mathbf{t}_{\mu\nu}$ then form a basis of the $\mathfrak{so}(1,N)$ subalgebra and the gauge potential can be written as $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{t}_{\mu\nu}$.

The gauge invariant action (2.3) then becomes

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\frac{\langle\,(\dot g\, g^{-1})^2\,\rangle - \mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}\langle\,\mathbf{t}_{\mu\nu}\,\dot g\, g^{-1}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu\mu}}{2e} - \frac{em^2}{2} \right) , \tag{3.7}$$

and after the elimination of $\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu} = -\langle \mathbf{t}_{\mu\nu}\,\dot g\, g^{-1}\rangle$, we find the action (2.7) as

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{t}_{0'}{}^\mu\,\dot g\, g^{-1}\rangle\,\langle \mathbf{t}_{0'\mu}\,\dot g\, g^{-1}\rangle}{2e} - \frac{em^2}{2} \right) \ . \tag{3.8}$$

Using then (3.1)-(3.2), one gets $\langle \mathbf{t}_{0'\mu}\, \dot{g}\, g^{-1}\rangle = \dot{g}^{0'}{}_B\, g_\mu{}^B$ and (3.8) reduces to

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\tau \left(\frac{\dot{x}^A\, \dot{x}_A}{2e} - \frac{em^2}{2} \right) \ , \tag{3.9}$$

with $x_A = g^{0'}{}_A$. These components form the first row of the matrix $g^A{}_B$ and they are obviously invariant under the gauge transformations $g \mapsto h\, g$. The coordinates x^A are constrained to lie on the hyperboloid $x^A x_A + 1 = 0$. Hence, the action (3.9) describes the dynamics of a particle in AdS_{N+1}, see also Appendix A.

In the first order formalism one gets $L = L^\mu\, \mathbf{t}_{0'\mu}$, with $L^\mu L_\mu + m^2 = 0$. Its gauge transformations $L \mapsto h\, L\, h^{-1}$ transform the components $L^\mu = \langle \mathbf{t}_{0'}{}^\mu\, L\rangle$ by the Lorentz matrices $L^\mu \mapsto \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu L^\nu$ and they can be turned to $L^\mu = m\, \delta^\mu_0$. Therefore, we can set

$$L = m\, \mathbf{t}_{0'0} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

Taking for the group element $g = g_c\, g_b$, where g_c is a compact element and g_b is a boost,

$$g_c = e^{\varphi\, \mathbf{t}_{0'0}}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}\, \phi_{kl} \mathbf{t}_{kl}} \ , \qquad\qquad g_b = e^{\zeta^{0'k}\, \mathbf{t}_{0'k} + \zeta^{0k}\, \mathbf{t}_{0k}} \ , \tag{3.11}$$

we find that the symplectic form (2.13) and the Noether charge (2.10) depend only on g_b

$$\omega = m \mathrm{d}\langle \mathbf{t}_{0'0}\, \mathrm{d}g_b\, g_b^{-1}\rangle \ , \qquad\qquad R = m\, g_b^{-1}\, \mathbf{t}_{0'0}\, g_b \ . \tag{3.12}$$

Introducing then the components $J_{AB} = \langle \mathbf{t}_{BA}\, R\rangle$ and using (3.2) for $t_{0'0}$, we obtain

$$\omega = m\, \mathrm{d}u_C \wedge \mathrm{d}v^C \ , \qquad\qquad J_{AB} = m\, (u_A v_B - u_B v_A) \ , \tag{3.13}$$

where $u_A = (g_b)_{0A}$ and $v_A = (g_b)^{0'}{}_A$.

These $2(N+2)$ variables are still constrained by the conditions

$$v_A\, v^A + 1 = 0 \ , \qquad u_A\, u^A + 1 = 0 \ , \qquad u_A\, v^A = 0 \ , \qquad u_{0'} + v_0 = 0 \ , \tag{3.14}$$

since g_b is a boost (see Appendix B). These four constraints then define a $2N$ dimensional physical phase space, where one can choose u_k and v_k, with $k = 1, \ldots, N$, as global coordinates. Counting the degrees of freedom as described in the previous section, we observe that this matches the dimension of the physical phase space, which is also $2N$.

To get a convenient parametrization of the Noether charges, we introduce the matrix formed by the upper-left 2×2 block of $(g_b)^A{}_B$,

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{3.15}$$

with $\alpha = (g_b)^{0'}{}_{0'}$, $\beta = (g_b)^{0'}{}_0 = (g_b)^0{}_{0'}$, $\gamma = (g_b)^0{}_0$. Equation (3.14) is then equivalent to

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1 + v^2 \ , \qquad \gamma^2 + \beta^2 = 1 + u^2 \ , \qquad \alpha\, \beta + \beta\, \gamma = -u\, v \ , \tag{3.16}$$

with $u^2 = u_n u_n$, $v^2 = v_n v_n$, $u\, v = u_n v_n$. In Appendix B we show that the matrix C is semi-positive and, therefore, that the system (3.16) has an unique solution for α, β and γ.

From (3.13) we obtain a parametrization of the Noether charges in terms of the coordinates u_k and v_k,

$$J_{0'0} = m\,\delta\ , \qquad\qquad J_{kl} = m(u_k v_l - u_l v_k)\ , \tag{3.17}$$

$$J_{k0'} = m(\alpha\, u_k + \beta\, v_k)\ , \qquad\qquad J_{k0} = m(\beta\, u_k + \gamma\, v_k)\ , \tag{3.18}$$

where $\delta = \alpha\,\gamma - \beta^2$ is the determinant of the matrix (3.15).

Introducing now the O(N) scalar combinations of the Noether charges

$$J^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, J_{ln} J_{ln}\ , \quad J_{0'}^2 \equiv J_{n0'} J_{n0'}\ , \quad J_0^2 \equiv J_{n0} J_{n0}\ , \quad J_{0'}\cdot J_0 \equiv J_{n0'} J_{n0}\ , \tag{3.19}$$

and using (3.16), we find

$$J^2 = m^2(\delta^2 - \alpha^2 - 2\beta^2 - \gamma^2 + 1)\ , \tag{3.20}$$

$$J_{0'}^2 = m^2(\delta^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2)\ , \quad J_0^2 = m^2(\delta^2 - \gamma^2 - \beta^2)\ , \quad J_{0'}\cdot J_0 = -m^2(\alpha+\gamma)\beta\ . \tag{3.21}$$

From these equations follows that the quadratic Casimir of SO$(2,N)$, $C_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\, J_{AB}\, J^{AB}$, satisfies the mass-shell condition

$$C_2 = E^2 + J^2 - J_{0'}^2 - J_0^2 = m^2\,, \tag{3.22}$$

where $E = J_{0'0}$ is the particle energy.

According to the general scheme described in the previous section, the Poisson brackets of the Noether charges satisfy the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra

$$\{J_{AB},\, J_{CD}\} = \eta_{AC} J_{BD} + \eta_{BD} J_{AC} - \eta_{AD} J_{BC} - \eta_{BC} J_{AD}\ , \tag{3.23}$$

and J_{kl} provide its $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ subalgebra. Introducing the complex combinations of the boosts,

$$B_k = J_{k0'} - i\, J_{k0}\ , \qquad\qquad B_k^* = J_{k0'} + i\, J_{k0}\ , \tag{3.24}$$

the remaining Poisson brackets take the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\{E,\, J_{kl}\} = 0\ , \qquad \{E, B_k\} = -iB_k\ , \qquad \{J_{kl},\, B_n\} = \delta_{kn}\, B_l - \delta_{ln}\, B_k\ , \\ &\{B_k,\, B_l\} = 0\ , \qquad \{B_k,\, B_l^*\} = 2i\delta_{kl}\, E - 2J_{kl}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Based on (3.18) and (3.21), one can express u_k and v_k in terms of the boosts and perceive B_k and B_k^* as coordinates on the physical phase space. The two-form in (3.13) then becomes the symplectic form on the coadjoint orbit [30],

$$\omega = i\,\omega_{kl}\ \mathrm{d}B_k^* \wedge \mathrm{d}B_l\ , \qquad \text{with}$$

$$\omega_{kl} = \frac{\delta_{kl}}{2E} + \frac{(2E^2 + |B|^2)B_k^* B_l - (2E^2 - |B|^2)B_k B_l^* - B^{*2} B_k B_l - B^2 B_k^* B_l^*}{8E^3(2E^2 - |B|^2 - m^2)}\ , \tag{3.26}$$

where $|B|^2 \equiv B_n^* B_n$, $B^{*2} \equiv B_n^* B_n^*$ and $B^2 \equiv B_n B_n$, similarly to (3.19). Note that the matrix ω_{kl} in (3.26) is inverse to the matrix $\omega^{kl} \equiv -i\{B_k, B_l^*\}$ given by (3.25), which shows consistency of the Hamiltonian reduction.

Now we construct a canonical parametrization of the physical phase space. The two-form in (3.13) contains a 'canonical part' $m\,\mathrm{d}u_k \wedge \mathrm{d}v_k$ and an additional two-form, which depends only on the scalar variables α, β and γ. To compensate the latter and keep only the canonical structure, we are looking for the canonical variables in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ q_k \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{m}\,\hat{U} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_k \\ v_k \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{3.27}$$

where $\hat{U}$ is a 2×2 matrix with unit determinant and its components being $\mathrm{O}(N)$ scalars, *i.e.* depending only on α, β and γ. With this ansatz one finds that the symplectic form in (3.13) reduces to $\omega = \mathrm{d}p_n \wedge \mathrm{d}q_n$ for

$$\hat{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha+\gamma+\delta}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\alpha & \beta \\ \beta & 1+\gamma \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.28}$$

The scalar combinations of the canonical variables obtained from (3.27)-(3.28) read

$$p^2 = m(\delta+\gamma-\alpha-1) \ , \qquad q^2 = m(\delta+\alpha-\gamma-1) \ , \qquad p\cdot q = -2m\,\beta \ , \tag{3.29}$$

which allows to invert (3.27) and using then (3.17)-(3.18), one finds a canonical parametrization of the Noether charges. In the oscillator variables,

$$b_k = \frac{p_k - i\,q_k}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \qquad b_k^* = \frac{p_k + i\,q_k}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \tag{3.30}$$

this parametrization takes the following form

$$E = m + H \ , \qquad\qquad J_{kl} = i(b_k^* b_l - b_l^* b_k) \ , \tag{3.31}$$

$$B_k = \left(Q + \frac{H}{2Q}\right) b_k - \frac{b^2}{2Q}\, b_k^* \ , \qquad B_k^* = \left(Q + \frac{H}{2Q}\right) b_k^* - \frac{b^{*\,2}}{2Q}\, b_k \ , \tag{3.32}$$

with

$$H = b_n^*\, b_n \ , \quad Q = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2m+H+J} + \sqrt{2m+H-J}\right) \ , \quad J^2 = H^2 - b^{*\,2} b^2 \ . \tag{3.33}$$

From (3.31)-(3.32) and (3.20) follows that $B^2 = \rho\, b^2$, with

$$\rho = \sqrt{(m+E)^2 - J^2} = m(\alpha+\gamma) \ , \tag{3.34}$$

which corresponds to the trace of the matrix (3.15). This scalar function plays an important role in the geometric quantization of AdS particle [30]. It characterizes the presymplectic form and the wave function of the ground state is just $\Psi_0 = \rho^{-m}$.

A similar canonical parametrization of the $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ generators was considered in [31] as a generalization of the Holstein-Primakoff representation to higher dimensions (see Appendix C) and its validity was checked by the computation of the Poisson brackets algebra of the function (3.31)-(3.32).

The generators E and J_{kl} in (3.31) are quadratic in canonical variables and their quantum realization is straightforward. However, the boost generators (3.32) contain nontrivial operator ordering ambiguities. This problem was solved in [31] using the results of geometric quantization [30], which lead to quantum deformations of the scalar functions involved in (3.32). The exchange relations of these scalar functions with the creation-annihilation operators then provide a quantum realization of (3.25).

4 Dual Oscillators

Here, we propose a different quantization scheme based on dual oscillator variables. Using the notation $J = \sqrt{J^2}$, (3.32) can be written as

$$B_k = Q\, b_k + \tilde{Q}\, a_k \ , \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2m + H + J} - \sqrt{2m + H - J}\right) \ , \tag{4.2}$$

$$a_k = \frac{i\, J_{kn}\, b_n}{J} = \frac{H\, b_k - b_k^*\, b^2}{J} \ , \tag{4.3}$$

and $k, n = 1, \ldots, N$. With a_k^* being the complex conjugated to (4.3), one obtains the identities

$$\begin{aligned} &a_n^*\, a_n = b_n^*\, b_n \ , \qquad a_n a_n = -b_n b_n \ , \qquad i(a_k^* a_l - a_l^* a_k) = i(b_k^* b_l - b_l^* b_k) \ , \\ &a_n\, b_n = 0 \ , \qquad a_n\, b_n^* = a_n^*\, b_n = J \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3) then follows $b_k = i(J_{kn}\, a_n)/J$, where J_{kn} and J have the same form in terms of a and a^*. Moreover, the canonical relations

$$\{b_k, b_l\} = 0 = \{b_k^*, b_l^*\} \ , \qquad \{b_k, b_l^*\} = i\delta_{kl} \ , \tag{4.5}$$

lead to the Poisson brackets

$$\{b_k, J\} = i\, a_k \ , \qquad \{a_k, J\} = i\, b_k \ , \tag{4.6}$$

$$\{a_k, b_l\} = \frac{i}{J}\left(b^2\delta_{kl} + a_k a_l - b_k b_l\right) , \qquad \{a_k, b_l^*\} = \frac{i}{J}\left(H\delta_{kl} - a_k^* a_l - b_k^* b_l\right) \ , \tag{4.7}$$

and we find that a_k and a_k^* are also canonical,

$$\{a_k, a_l\} = 0 = \{a_k^*, a_l^*\} \ , \qquad \{a_k, a_l^*\} = i\delta_{kl} \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Hence, a_k and a_k^* are dual variables and the $\mathfrak{so}(2, N)$ generators are symmetric under this duality. In the new variables

$$c_k^{\pm} = \frac{b_k \pm a_k}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \qquad h_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(H \pm J) \ , \tag{4.9}$$

the boost generators (4.1) split into the sum

$$B_k = \sqrt{m + h_+}\, c_k^+ + \sqrt{m + h_-}\, c_k^- \ , \tag{4.10}$$

and by (4.7)-(4.8) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\{h_{\pm}, c_k^{\mp}\} = 0 \ , \qquad \{h_{\pm}, c_k^{\pm}\} = -i\, c_k^{\pm} \ , \\ &\{c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\pm}\} = 0 \ , \qquad \{c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\mp}\} = \pm\frac{i}{J}\left(b^2\delta_{kl} + a_k a_l - b_k b_l\right) \ , \\ &\{c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\mp\,*}\} = 0 \ , \qquad \{c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\pm\,*}\} = \pm\frac{i}{J}\left(2h_{\pm}\,\delta_{kl} - a_k^* a_l - b_k^* b_l\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.10)-(4.11) one easily checks the Poisson brackets

$$\{B_k, B_l\} = 0 = \{B_k^*, B_l^*\} \ , \qquad \{B_k, B_l^*\} = 2i(m + H)\delta_{kl} - 2i(b_k^* b_l - b_l^* b_k) \ , \tag{4.12}$$

which corresponds to the last two equations in (3.25). Checking the remaining Poisson brackets of the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra is obvious.

Now we discuss the quantum realization of the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra in terms of dual oscillators. The generators of the compact subgroups are defined by

$$E = m + H \ , \qquad J_{kl} = i(b_k^\dagger b_l - b_l^\dagger b_k) \ , \tag{4.13}$$

where $H = b_n^* \, b_n$ is the normal ordered harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian and m corresponds to the minimal energy eigenvalue. The angular momentum squared operator, *i.e.* the quadratic SO(N) Casimir, is defined in the usual way and one gets

$$J^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} J_{ln} J_{ln} = H^2 + (N-2)H - b^{*\,2} \, b^2 \ , \tag{4.14}$$

which is an N-dependent quantum deformation of the classical relation in (3.33).

We introduce the operator $J_N = \sqrt{J^2 + \mathcal{C}_N}$ as the quantum analog of the classical variable $J = \sqrt{J^2}$. Here, $\mathcal{C}_N$ is an N-dependent number and on the basis of (4.6) let us assume the commutation relations

$$[b_k, J_N] = a_k \ , \qquad\qquad [a_k, J_N] = b_k \ . \tag{4.15}$$

In Appendix D we show that these conditions fix $\mathcal{C}_N$ and a_k by[3]

$$\mathcal{C}_N = \frac{1}{4}(N-2)^2 \tag{4.16}$$

$$a_k = \left(\Big(H + \frac{N}{2} \Big) b_k - b_k^* \, b^2 \right) \frac{1}{J_N} = \frac{1}{J_N} \left(\Big(H + \frac{N-2}{2} \Big) b_k - b_k^* \, b^2 \right) \ . \tag{4.17}$$

With this, one can then check that (4.15) is indeed fulfilled and the classical relations between the dual oscillator variables (4.4) become the following operator equations

$$\begin{aligned} &a_n^* \, a_n = b_n^* \, b_n \ , \quad a_n a_n = -b_n b_n \ , \quad i(a_k^* a_l - a_l^* a_k) = i(b_k^* b_l - b_l^* b_k) \ , \\ &\frac{1}{2}(a_n \, b_n + b_n \, a_n) = 0 \ , \quad \frac{1}{2}(a_n \, b_n^* + a_n^* \, b_n) = \frac{1}{2}(b_n \, a_n^* + b_n^* \, a_n) = J_N \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

For example, to calculate $a_n^* \, a_n$ we use the first equation in (4.17), which yields

$$a_n^* \, a_n = \frac{1}{J_N} \left(b_n^* \Big(H + \frac{N}{2} \Big) - b^{*\,2} \, b_n \right) \left(\Big(H + \frac{N}{2} \Big) b_n - b_n^* \, b^2 \right) \frac{1}{J_N} \ . \tag{4.19}$$

The product in the middle of the right hand side can be simplified and one obtains

$$a_n^* \, a_n = \frac{1}{J_N} H \left(\Big(H + \frac{N-2}{2} \Big)^2 - b^{*\,2} \, b^2 \right) \frac{1}{J_N} = H \ , \tag{4.20}$$

where we used

$$J_N^2 = H_N^2 - b^{*\,2} b^2 \ , \qquad\qquad \text{with} \qquad H_N = H + \frac{N-2}{2} \ . \tag{4.21}$$

[3] We assume $N \geq 3$, such that he operator J_N is positive and its inverse is well defined. Note that the cases $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ are reduced to the Holstein-Primakoff representation, see Appendix C.

The calculation of $a_n a_n$ involves both forms of a_k in (4.17), which provides

$$a_n\, a_n = \frac{1}{J_N}\,\left(H_N\, b_n - b_n^*\, b^2\right)\left(\left(H+\frac{N}{2}\right)b_n - b_n^*\, b^2\right)\frac{1}{J_N}\ , \tag{4.22}$$

and the simplification of the operator expression here leads to $a_n a_n = -b_n b_n$.

The check of the other operator in relations (4.18) is similar, where one can use that the operator J_N commutes with all $\mathrm{O}(N)$ scalar operators as well as with J_{kl}.

Furthermore, from (4.17) we obtain the canonical commutation relations

$$[a_k, a_l] = 0 = [a_k^*, a_l^*]\ , \qquad\qquad [a_k, a_l^*] = \delta_{kl}\ . \tag{4.23}$$

The computation of the commutators between the dual oscillator operators is based on the operator identity (D.7) , which leads to the quantum version of (4.7)

$$[a_k, b_l] = \Big(b^2\delta_{kl} + a_k a_l - b_k b_l\Big)\frac{1}{J_N} = \frac{1}{J_N}\Big(b^2\delta_{kl} + a_k a_l - b_k b_l\Big)\ , \tag{4.24}$$

$$[a_k, b_l^*] = \Big(H_N\,\delta_{kl} - a_k^* a_l - b_k^* b_l\Big)\frac{1}{J_N} = \frac{1}{J_N}\Big(H_N\,\delta_{kl} - a_k^* a_l - b_k^* b_l\Big)\ . \tag{4.25}$$

Similarly to (4.9), we define the operators

$$c_k^{\pm} = \frac{b_k \pm a_k}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \qquad\qquad h_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(H_N \pm J_N)\ , \tag{4.26}$$

and with the help of (4.23)-(4.25), we obtain the quantum analog of (4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[h_{\pm}, c_k^{\mp}] = 0\ , && [h_{\pm}, c_k^{\pm}] = -c_k^{\pm}\ ,\\
&[c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\pm}] = 0\ , && [c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\mp}] = \pm\frac{1}{J_N}\left(b^2\delta_{kl} + a_k a_l - b_k b_l\right)\ ,\\
&[c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\mp\,*}] = 0\ , && [c_k^{\pm}, c_l^{\pm\,*}] = \pm\frac{1}{J_N}\left(2h_{\pm}\,\delta_{kl} - a_k^* a_l - b_k^* b_l\right)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Finally, using the classical expression (4.10), we introduce the boost operators by

$$B_k = \sqrt{m_N + h_+}\, c_k^+ + \sqrt{m_N + h_-}\, c_k^-\ , \quad B_k^* = c_k^{+\,*}\sqrt{m_N + h_+} + c_k^{-\,*}\sqrt{m_N + h_-}\ , \tag{4.28}$$

where m_N is a deformed mass parameter. Equations (4.27) lead to the commutators

$$[B_k, B_l] = 0 = [B_k^*, B_l^*]\ , \qquad [B_k, B_l^*] = 2(m_N + H_N)\delta_{kl} - 2(b_k^* b_l - b_l^* b_k)\ , \tag{4.29}$$

which becomes a part of the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra for $m_N = m - \frac{N-2}{2}$. The representation (4.28) is unitary if the square root expressions are Hermitian. This requires $m \geq \frac{N-2}{2}$, which coincides with the unitarity bound of the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ representations [28].

5 Supersymmetric Extension

In this section we discuss a supersymmetric extension of the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra. Furthermore, we will show how the $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ covariant oscillator representation can be supersymmetrized, generalizing the known results for the $\mathcal{N}=1$ AdS_2 [17] and AdS_3 [18] superparticles.

For this, let Γ_A be the $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ gamma matrices forming the Clifford algebra

$$\Gamma_A\Gamma_B + \Gamma_B\Gamma_A = \eta_{AB} I \ , \qquad\qquad A,B = 0',0,1,\ldots,N \ . \tag{5.1}$$

Their normalized commutators $\Gamma_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}[\Gamma_A,\Gamma_B]$ provide the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra (3.3),

$$[\Gamma_{AB},\Gamma_{CD}] = \eta_{AD}\Gamma_{BC} + \eta_{BC}\Gamma_{AD} - \eta_{AC}\Gamma_{BD} - \eta_{BD}\Gamma_{AC} \ . \tag{5.2}$$

The charge conjugation matrix C_ϵ is introduced by its defining property

$$\Gamma_A^T = \epsilon\, C_\epsilon\, \Gamma_A\, C_\epsilon^{-1} \ , \qquad\qquad \text{with} \quad \epsilon = \pm 1 \ , \tag{5.3}$$

where we will assume that C_ϵ is antisymmetric. The matrices $\Gamma_{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1}$ are then symmetric and

$$C_\epsilon^{-1}\Gamma_{AB}^T = -\Gamma_{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1} \ . \tag{5.4}$$

Note that C_+ exists for $N = 2,3,4$ modulo 8 while C_- exists for $N = 0,1,2$ modulo 8.

For the bosonic charges J_{AB} fulfilling the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ Poisson algebra (3.23), let us introduce its supersymmetric extension by the following additional commutation relations

$$\{J_{AB},F_a\} = (\Gamma_{AB})_{ab}\, F_b \ , \qquad \{F_a,F_b\} = \left(\Gamma^{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1}\right)_{ab} J_{AB} \ , \tag{5.5}$$

where F_a are the odd elements of the algebra forming an $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ spinor.

The Jacobi identity with two even and one odd elements

$$\{J_{AB},\{J_{CD},F_a\}\} + \{J_{CD},\{F_a,J_{AB}\}\} + \{F_a,\{J_{AB},J_{CD}\}\} = 0 \ , \tag{5.6}$$

trivially follows from (3.23), (5.2) and (5.5). The case of one even and two odd elements

$$\{J_{AB},\{F_a,F_b\}\} + \{F_a,\{F_b,J_{AB}\}\} - \{F_b,\{J_{AB},F_a\}\} = 0 \ , \tag{5.7}$$

is satisfied because of (5.4) and the antisymmetricity of the structure constants. Finally, the case of three odd elements,

$$\{F_c,\{F_a,F_b\}\} + \{F_a,\{F_b,F_c\}\} + \{F_b,\{F_c,F_a\}\} = 0 \ , \tag{5.8}$$

holds if the gamma matrices satisfy the relation

$$\left(\Gamma^{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1}\right)_{ab}(\Gamma_{AB})_{cd} + \left(\Gamma^{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1}\right)_{bc}(\Gamma_{AB})_{ad} + \left(\Gamma^{AB}C_\epsilon^{-1}\right)_{ca}(\Gamma_{AB})_{bd} = 0 \ . \tag{5.9}$$

It is well known that superconformal algebras can be found only for $N \leq 6$. Requiring existence of the charge conjugation matrix C_ϵ reduces the possible values of N, for which the above relation might hold, even further to $N \leq 4$. In fact, we have checked directly (for $N \leq 10$) that (5.9) can be satisfied only for $N \leq 3$. This observation is in accordance with the fact that only for $N \leq 3$ there exist superconformal algebras without any R-symmetry, as is the case for (5.5).

For $N = 1$, *i.e.* AdS_2, we can realize Γ_A's and C_ϵ by the Pauli matrices

$$\Gamma_{0'} = i\sigma_1 \ , \qquad \Gamma_0 = i\sigma_3 \ , \qquad \Gamma_1 = \sigma_2 \ , \qquad C_- = \sigma_2 \ , \tag{5.10}$$

and (5.9) reduces to the identity

$$\delta_{ab}(\sigma_2)_{cd} = i\big((\sigma_1)_{ac}(\sigma_3)_{bd} - (\sigma_1)_{ad}(\sigma_3)_{bc}\big) \ . \tag{5.11}$$

The obtained superalgebra is $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and the OSP(1|2)/SO(1,1) coset scheme leads to the Holstein-Primakoff type representation of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ [17].

Using canonical fermionic creation-annihilation variables f and f^*, with $\{f, f^*\} = i$, the parametrization of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ generators can be written as

$$E = m + b^*b + \frac{f^*f}{2} \ , \quad B = \sqrt{2m + b^*b + f^*f}\, b \ , \quad F = \sqrt{2m + b^*b + f^*f}\, f + f^*\, b \ . \tag{5.12}$$

which is a supersymmetric extension of (C.2).

The case of $N = 2$ is given by the AdS_3 superparticle on $\mathrm{OSP}(1|2)\times\mathrm{OSP}(1|2)/\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ [18]. Here, the superisometry algebra consists of the direct sum $\mathfrak{osp}_l(1|2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}_r(1|2)$, with each $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ (5.12) given in terms of 'left' and 'right' canonical variables

$$\begin{aligned} E_l &= \frac{m}{2} + b_l^*b_l + \frac{f_l^*f_l}{2} \ , & E_r &= \frac{m}{2} + b_r^*b_r + \frac{f_r^*f_r}{2} \ , \\ B_l &= \sqrt{m + b_l^*b_l + f_l^*f_l}\ b_l \ , & B_r &= \sqrt{m + b_r^*b_r + f_r^*f_r}\ b_r \ , \\ F_l &= \sqrt{m + b_l^*b_l}\, f_l + f_l^*\, b_l \ , & F_r &= \sqrt{m + b_r^*b_r}\, f_r + f_r^*\, b_r \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

The following linear combinations of the bosonic elements

$$E = E_l + E_r \ , \quad J_{12} = E_r - E_l \ , \quad B_1 = B_l + B_r \ , \quad B_2 = i(B_l - B_r) \ , \tag{5.14}$$

provide the $\mathfrak{so}(2,2)$ algebra written in the general SO(N) covariant form (3.25), where the indices take the values $k, l, m = 1, 2$.

We furthermore introduce the SO(2) spinor F_α, $\alpha = 1, 2$, and its complex conjugate F_α^* by

$$F_1 = F_l + F_r \ , \qquad F_2 = i(F_l - F_r) \ , \tag{5.15}$$

as well as the gamma matrices $\gamma_1 = \sigma_3$ and $\gamma_2 = \sigma_1$. Then, the remaining $\mathfrak{osp}_l(1|2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}_r(1|2)$ Poisson brackets take the SO(N) covariant form

$$\begin{aligned} \{E, F_\alpha\} &= -i\, F_\alpha/2 \ , & \{J_{mn}, F_\alpha\} &= (\gamma_{mn})_{\alpha\beta}\, F_\beta \ , \\ \{B_n, F_\alpha\} &= 0 \ , & \{B_n^*, F_\alpha\} &= -i(\gamma_n)_{\alpha\beta} F_\beta^* \ , \\ \{F_\alpha, F_\beta\} &= 2i(\gamma_n)_{\alpha\beta} B_n \ , & \{F_\alpha^*, F_\beta\} &= 2iE\delta_{\alpha\beta} + 2J_{mn}(\gamma_{mn})_{\alpha\beta} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

with $\gamma_{mn} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma_m, \gamma_n]$, $m, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $N = 2$ for the case at hand.

To show that the above is equivalent to the SO($2, N$) covariant algebra (5.5) we take for the SO(2,2) spinor F_a, $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the natural ansatz $F_a = (F_\alpha, F_\alpha^*)$, which is related to the manifestly real Majorana spinor by the similarity transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(F_\alpha + F_\alpha^*) \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(F_\alpha - F_\alpha^*) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \times \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} F_\alpha \\ F_\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{5.17}$$

Recalling that $E = J_{0'0}$ and $B_m = J_{m0'} - i\, J_{m0}$, (5.16) then matches with (5.5) if for the SO(2, 2) gamma matrices we take the basis

$$\Gamma_{0'} = -i\,\sigma_1 \times \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2}\ , \qquad \Gamma_0 = -i\,\sigma_2 \times \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2}\ , \qquad \Gamma_{m=1,2} = \sigma_3 \times \gamma_m \tag{5.18}$$

and for the charge conjugation matrix[4]

$$C_\epsilon = C_- = \frac{1}{2}\,\Gamma_0 = \frac{-i}{2}\,\sigma_2 \times \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2}\ . \tag{5.19}$$

With the superalgebra in an SO(N), respectively, SO(2, N) covariant form we recall that in the bosonic case also the oscillator parametrization of the charges (3.31)-(3.32) became covariant under the spatial SO(N). Indeed, in the new oscillator variables

$$b_1 = \frac{b_l + b_r}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \quad b_2 = i\frac{b_l - b_r}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \qquad f_1 = \frac{f_l + f_r}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \quad f_2 = i\frac{f_l - f_r}{\sqrt{2}}\ , \tag{5.20}$$

also the generators (5.14)-(5.15) take an SO(N) covariant form,

$$\begin{aligned} &E = m + H + \frac{h}{2}\ , \quad B_m = Q\, b_m + \frac{i}{2Q}\,(J_{mn} + j_{mn})b_n\ , \quad J_{mn} = \tilde{J}_{mn} + j_{mn}\ , \\ &F_\alpha = Q\, f_a + \frac{i}{2Q}\,(J_{mn} + j_{mn})\,(\gamma_{mn})_{\alpha\beta}\, f_\beta + f^*_\beta(\gamma_n)_{\beta\alpha} b_n\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &H = b^*_m b_m\ , \quad h = f^*_\beta f_\beta\ , \quad \tilde{J}_{mn} = i(b^*_m b_n - b^*_m b_n)\ , \quad j_{mn} = i\, f^*_\alpha\,(\gamma_{mn})_{\alpha\beta}\, f_\beta\ , \\ &Q = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2m + H + h + (J+j)} + \sqrt{2m + H + h - (J+j)}\right)\ , \\ &(J+j)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_{mn} + j_{mn})(J_{mn} + j_{mn})\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Hence, these should be viewed as a supersymmetric generalization of (3.31)-(3.32). Although (5.21) hold for $N = 1$ and $N = 2$, showing consistency for $N = 3$, which ought to correspond to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS$_4$ superparticle, is still an open problem. For $N \geq 4$ the ansatz for the charges (5.21) has to fail due to inconstancy of (5.9), as stated above.

Acknowledgments

We thank Gleb Arutyunov, Harald Dorn, Tomas Klose and Jan Plefka for useful discussions. M.H. thanks the organizers of the conference *Selected Topics in Theoretical High Energy Physics* (Tbilisi, 2015) and of the program *Holography and Dualities 2016: New Advances in String and Gauge Theory* (Nordita, 2016) as well as Nordita in Stockholm for kind hospitality. G.J. thanks the Humboldt University of Berlin and University of Hamburg for kind hospitality. The work M.H. and G.J. is supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) under the Collaborative Research Center (SFB) 676 *Particles, Strings and the Early Universe*. The work of G.J. and L.M. is supported by the Rustaveli GNSF. In addition, G.J. is supported by the DFG under the SFB 647 *Space–Time–Matter*.

[4]The prefactor of 1/2 could also be absorbed in the definition of F_a.

A Particle Dynamics in AdS_{N+1}

Here we consider a standard formulation of particle dynamics in AdS_{N+1} and discuss its relation to the $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)/\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ coset construction.

Let us represent AdS_{N+1} as the hyperboloid of a radius R embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2,N}$

$$X^A X_A + R^2 = 0 \ , \tag{A.1}$$

and introduce the action of AdS particle by

$$S = \int d\tau \left(\frac{\dot{X}^A \dot{X}_A}{2\xi} - \frac{\xi M^2}{2} \right) \ . \tag{A.2}$$

Here, M is the particle mass, ξ plays the role of einbein and X^A satisfies (A.1). This action reduces to the action of the coset model (3.9) by the following rescaling of variables

$$x^A = X^A/R \ , \qquad e = \xi/R^2 \ , \qquad m = MR \ . \tag{A.3}$$

The $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ symmetry of (A.1)-(A.2) defines the Noether charges

$$J_{AB} = p_A \, x_B - p_B \, x_A \ , \tag{A.4}$$

where $p_A = \dot{x}_A/e$ are the canonical momenta and the canonical Poisson brackets $\{p_A, \, x^B\} = \delta_A^{\ B}$ provide the $\mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ algebra (3.23) for these charges.

In the first order formalism Dirac's procedure leads to the constraints

$$x^A x_A + 1 = 0 \ , \qquad p_A p^A + m^2 = 0 \ , \qquad p_A \, x^A = 0 \ . \tag{A.5}$$

The linear combination $\Phi_0 = m^2(x^A x_A + 1) + (p_A p^A + m^2)$ is a first class constraint and two others, $\Phi_1 = p_A \, x^A$ and $\Phi_2 = x^A x_A + 1$, are of the second class. Imposing then the gauge fixing condition $m \, x_0 + p_{0'} = 0$, we find that the description in the phase space variables p_A and x^A is equivalent to (3.13), with $p_A = m \, u_A$ and $x^A = v^A$.

The constraints (A.5) provide the mass-shell condition (3.22) and from (A.4) also follow the quadratic relations between the Noether charges,

$$J_{AB} \, J_{CD} + J_{AC} \, J_{DB} + J_{AD} \, J_{BC} = 0 \ . \tag{A.6}$$

Taking $A = 0'$, $B = 0$, $C = k$ and $D = l$, together with (3.24) this yields the identity

$$2E \, J_{kl} = i(B_k^* B_l - B_l^* B_k) \ , \tag{A.7}$$

and by the mass-shell condition (3.22) and (A.7) one obtains two $\mathrm{O}(N)$ scalar relations

$$E^2 + J^2 = m^2 + |B|^2 \ , \qquad 4E^2 J^2 = |B|^4 - B^{*2} B^2 \ , \tag{A.8}$$

where the scalar combinations are defined as in (3.26). From (A.7)-(A.8) one then finds the generators E and J_{kl} as functions of B_k and B_k^*. As a result, B_k and B_k^* become global coordinates on the physical phase space.

Finally, note that the constraints (A.5) provide the relation

$$\frac{1}{2m^2} J_{AB} \, dJ^{AC} \wedge dJ^B{}_C = dP_A \wedge dX^A \ , \tag{A.9}$$

which parameterizes the symplectic form by the Noether charges and leads to (3.26).

B Parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ Boosts

In this appendix we analyze the structure of the boost matrix g_b defined by (3.11) and show that the matrix elements of C in (3.15) are uniquely defined by (3.16).

The matrix g_b is an exponent $g_b = e^T$, where $T \in \mathfrak{so}(2,N)$ has the block structure

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} & \zeta^{\alpha}{}_{l} \\ \zeta^{k}{}_{\beta} & \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.1}$$

Here, the indices take the values $\alpha, \beta = 0', 0$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and we left the vanishing upper-left 2×2 block and lower-right $N \times N$ block blank. Thus, $\zeta^{\alpha}{}_{l}$ and $\zeta^{k}{}_{\beta}$ are $2 \times N$ and $N \times 2$ matrices, respectively, and by (B.1) one finds

$$T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} & \\ & \zeta^{k}{}_{\gamma}\,\zeta^{\gamma}{}_{l} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{B.2}$$

with

$$\xi^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \zeta^{\alpha}{}_{j}\,\zeta^{j}{}_{\beta} = \zeta^{\alpha j}\,\zeta^{\beta j} \ . \tag{B.3}$$

Using (B.1)-(B.2) and recurrence relations, one finds

$$T^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} (\xi^n)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} & \\ & \zeta^{k}{}_{\gamma}\,(\xi^{n-1})^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma'}\zeta^{\gamma'}{}_{l} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad T^{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} & (\xi^n)^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}\,\zeta^{\gamma}{}_{l} \\ \zeta^{k}{}_{\gamma}\,(\xi^n)^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} & \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.4}$$

The calculation of the exponent e^T is then straightforward and one obtains

$$g_b = \begin{pmatrix} C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} & S^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}\,\zeta^{\gamma}{}_{l} \\ \zeta^{k}{}_{\gamma}\,S^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} & \zeta^{k}{}_{\gamma}\,U^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma'}\zeta^{\gamma'}{}_{l} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{B.5}$$

where

$$C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi^n)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}}{(2n)!} \ , \qquad S^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi^n)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}}{(2n+1)!} \ , \qquad U^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi^{n-1})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}}{(2n)!} \ . \tag{B.6}$$

The matrix $\xi^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ given by (B.3) is symmetric and semi-positive. Therefore, it can be represented as a square of a symmetric and semi-positive matrix $\xi = \eta^2$ and one gets $C = \cosh\eta$. As a result, the matrix C is also symmetric and positive. Equations (3.16) then uniquely define the matrix elements α, β and γ and one obtains

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + u^2 + X}{\sqrt{2 + u^2 + v^2 + 2X}} \, , \qquad \beta = \frac{uv}{\sqrt{2 + u^2 + v^2 + 2X}} \, , \qquad \gamma = \frac{1 + v^2 + X}{\sqrt{2 + u^2 + v^2 + 2X}} \, , \tag{B.7}$$

where $X = \sqrt{1 + u^2 + v^2 + u^2 v^2 - (u\,v)^2}$.

Note that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the group parameters $\zeta^{0'k}$ and ζ^{0k}) and the variables u_k and v_k. At $\zeta^{0'k} = 0$ equations (B.5)-(B.7) reproduce the more familiar structure of the $\mathrm{SO}(1,N)$ boosts.

C Canonical Parametrization of $\mathrm{SO}(2,N)$ Generators

Here we analyze the canonical structure of AdS particle dynamics in low dimensions and show that its generalization leads to the canonical parameterization (3.32).

AdS_2 corresponds to $N=1$. In this case (3.22) and (3.26) are equivalent to

$$E^2 = m^2 + B^* B \ , \qquad \omega = i\,\frac{\mathrm{d}B^*\wedge \mathrm{d}B}{2E} \ , \tag{C.1}$$

with $B = B_1$, $B^* = B_1^*$. The Holstein-Primakoff parametrization

$$E = m + b^* b \ , \qquad B = \sqrt{2m + b^* b}\; b \ , \qquad B^* = b^*\,\sqrt{2m + b^* b} \tag{C.2}$$

then reduces the symplectic form in (C.1) to the canonical one $\omega = i\,\mathrm{d}b^*\wedge \mathrm{d}b$.

In AdS_3 equations (A.7) and the mass-shell condition provide the relations

$$2E\,J_{12} = i(B_1^* B_2 - B_2^* B_1) \ , \qquad E^2 + J_{12}^2 = m^2 + |B_1|^2 + |B_2|^2 \ , \tag{C.3}$$

which are equivalent to

$$E_L^2 = (m/2)^2 + |B_L|^2 \ , \qquad E_R^2 = (m/2)^2 + |B_R|^2 \ , \tag{C.4}$$

where the 'left' (E_L, B_L, B_L^*) and the 'right' (E_R, B_R, B_R^*) variables are defined by

$$2E_L = E - J_{12} \ , \quad 2B_L = B_1 - iB_2 \ , \qquad 2E_R = E + J_{12} \ , \quad 2B_R = B_1 + iB_2 \ . \tag{C.5}$$

By (3.23), the left variables E_L, B_L, and B_L^* have zero Poisson brackets with the right variables E_R, B_R, and B_R^* and they both form an $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ algebra, as E, B and B^* do for $N=1$.

Taking into account that the Casimir parameter of the left and right parts is $m/2$, we introduce the parametrization (C.2) for the left and right variables as follows

$$E_L = \frac{m}{2} + H_L \,, \quad B_L = \sqrt{m + H_L}\; b_L \,, \qquad E_R = \frac{m}{2} + H_R \,, \quad B_R = \sqrt{m + H_R}\; b_R \,, \tag{C.6}$$

with $H_L = b_L^* b_L$ and $H_R = b_R^* b_R$. From (C.4) and (C.5) we then find

$$\begin{aligned} &E = H_L + H_R + m && J_{12} = H_R - H_L \\ &B_1 = \sqrt{m + H_L}\; b_L + \sqrt{m + H_R}\; b_R && B_2 = i\sqrt{m + H_L}\; b_L - i\sqrt{m + H_R}\; b_R \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

The obtained canonical form of the $\mathrm{SO}(2,2)$ generators is not suitable for a generalization to arbitrary N and to proceed, we introduce the new canonical variables

$$b_1 = \frac{b_L + b_R}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \quad b_1^* = \frac{b_L^* + b_R^*}{\sqrt{2}} \ ; \quad b_2 = i\,\frac{b_L - b_R}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \quad b_2^* = i\,\frac{b_R^* - b_L^*}{\sqrt{2}} \ . \tag{C.8}$$

This provides $H_R - H_L = i(b_1^* b_2 - b_2^* b_1)$, $H_R + H_L = |b_1|^2 + |b_2|^2$ and the $SO(2,2)$ generators (C.7) become

$$\begin{aligned} &E = m + H \ , && J_{12} = i(b_1^* b_2 - b_2^* b_1) \ , \\ &B_1 = Q\, b_1 + \frac{i}{2\,Q}\, J_{12} b_2 \ , \quad B_2 = Q\, b_2 + \frac{i}{2\,Q}\, J_{21} b_1 \ , && \text{with} \\ &Q = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{2m + H + J} + \sqrt{2m + H - J}\right) \ , \quad H = |b_1|^2 + |b_2|^2 \ , \quad J = \sqrt{J_{12}^2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

This equation defines a parametrization of the symmetry generators in terms of canonical variables, which has a natural generalization for arbitrary N in the form (3.32).

D More on Dual Oscillators

In this appendix we present calculations related to quantized dual oscillators.

First we fix the operators J_N and a_k on the basis of (4.15), which provides

$$[b_k, J_N^2] = 2\, a_k\, J_N - b_k, \qquad\qquad [a_k, J_N^2] = 2\, b_k\, J_N - a_k\ . \tag{D.1}$$

On the other hand, the form of the operaor J_N together with (4.14) yields

$$[b_k, J_N^2] = (2H + N - 1)b_k - 2b_k^*\, b^2\ , \tag{D.2}$$

with $b^2 = b_n\, b_n$ and $b^{*2} = b_n^*\, b_n^*$. Comparing this with the first equation of (D.1), we find

$$a_k\, J_N = (H + N/2)b_k - b_k^*\, b^2\ . \tag{D.3}$$

From this follows the commutator

$$[a_k\, J_N, J_N^2] = (H + N/2)\Big((2H + N - 1)b_k - 2b_k^*\, b^2\Big) + \Big(b_k^*(2H + N - 1) - 2b^{*\,2}b_k\Big)b^2, \tag{D.4}$$

and by the second equation of (D.1) we have $[a_k\, J_N, J_N^2] = 2b_k J_N^2 - a_k\, J_N$. Inserting here (D.2)-(D.3) and comparing it with (D.4) fixes the number $\mathcal{C}_N$ by (4.16). The operator J_N has then an inverse and a_k is given by the first equation of (4.17).

The obtained a_k and J_N satisfy the exchange relations

$$J_N^2\, b_k = b_k\, J_N^2 - 2a_k\, J_N + b_k\ , \qquad J_N^2\, a_k = a_k\, J_N^2 - 2b_k\, J_N + a_k\ , \tag{D.5}$$

which are equivalent to

$$J_N^2\, c_k^+ = c_k^+\, (J_N - 1)^2\ , \qquad\qquad J_N^2\, c_k^- = c_k^-\, (J_N + 1)^2\ , \tag{D.6}$$

with $c_k^\pm$ defined by (4.9). One then gets $J_N\, c_k^\pm = c_k^\pm\, (J_N \mp 1)$ and we indeed obtain (4.15).

The first equation of (D.1) can also be written as $[b_k, J_N^2] = 2\, J_N\, a_k - b_k$, which together with (D.2) provides the second equation of (4.17). These two forms of a_k allow to check the operator relations (4.18) and the commutators (4.24)-(4.23). At some points one can also use the equation

$$\frac{1}{J_N}\, b_l = b_l\, \frac{1}{J_N} + \frac{1}{J_N}\, a_l\, \frac{1}{J_N}\ , \tag{D.7}$$

which follows from (4.15). For example, calculating $a_k b_l$, one finds

$$a_k b_l = a_k J_N \frac{1}{J_N} b_l = a_k J_N \left(b_l \frac{1}{J_N} + \frac{1}{J_N} a_l \frac{1}{J_N}\right) = b_l a_k + \left([a_k J_N, b_l] + a_k\, a_l\right) \frac{1}{J_N}\ , \tag{D.8}$$

and from (D.3) follows the commutator $[a_k J_N, b_l] = b^2\delta_{kl} - b_k b_l$. Equation (D.8) is then equivalent to the first form of the commutator (4.24). The second form is obtained in a similar way, applying (D.7) to the term $b_l a_k$. Two forms of the commutator $[a_k, b_l^*]$ is obtained in the same way and they finally lead to the commutators of the boosts (4.29).

References

[1] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *"Foundations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Superstring. Part I"*, J. Phys. A42, 254003 (2009), arxiv:0901.4937. • N. Beisert, C. Ahn, L. F. Alday, Z. Bajnok, J. M. Drummond et al., *"Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview"*, Lett.Math.Phys. 99, 3 (2012), arxiv:1012.3982. • D. Bombardelli, A. Cagnazzo, R. Frassek, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk, F. Loebbert, S. Negro, I. M. Szcsnyi, A. Sfondrini, S. J. van Tongeren and A. Torrielli, *"An integrability primer for the gauge-gravity correspondence: An introduction"*, J. Phys. A49, 320301 (2016), arxiv:1606.02945.

[2] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *"Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz for the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Mirror Model"*, JHEP 0905, 068 (2009), arxiv:0903.0141. • D. Bombardelli, D. Fioravanti and R. Tateo, *"Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz for planar AdS/CFT: a proposal"*, J. Phys. A42, 375401 (2009), arxiv:0902.3930. • N. Gromov, V. Kazakov and P. Vieira, *"Exact Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions of Planar N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory"*, Phys.Rev.Lett. 103, 131601 (2009), arxiv:0901.3753. • N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, A. Kozak and P. Vieira, *"Exact Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions of Planar $N = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory: TBA and excited states"*, Lett. Math. Phys. 91, 265 (2010), arxiv:0902.4458.

[3] N. Gromov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent and D. Volin, *"Quantum Spectral Curve for Planar AdS_5 /CFT_4 "*, Phys.Rev.Lett. 112, 011602 (2014), arxiv:1305.1939.

[4] T. Horigane and Y. Kazama, *"Exact Quantization of a Superparticle in AdS(5) x S**5"*, Phys.Rev. D81, 045004 (2010), arxiv:0912.1166.

[5] R. R. Metsaev, *"Light cone gauge formulation of IIB supergravity in AdS(5) x S(5) background and AdS/CFT correspondence"*, Phys. Lett. B468, 65 (1999), hep-th/9908114. • R. R. Metsaev, C. B. Thorn and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Light-cone superstring in AdS space-time"*, Nucl. Phys. B596, 151 (2001), hep-th/0009171. • W. Siegel, *"Spacecone quantization of AdS superparticle"*, arxiv:1005.5049.

[6] A. S. Arvanitakis, A. E. Barns-Graham and P. K. Townsend, *"Twistor variables for Anti-de Sitter (super)particles"*, arxiv:1608.04380.

[7] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Green-Schwarz string in AdS(5) x S**5: Semiclassical partition function"*, JHEP 0004, 021 (2000), hep-th/0001204. • S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ "*, JHEP 0206, 007 (2002), hep-th/0204226.

[8] D. Berenstein, J. M. Maldacena and H. Nastase, *"Strings in flat space and pp waves from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang Mills"*, JHEP 0204, 013 (2002), hep-th/0202021.

[9] S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *"A Semiclassical limit of the gauge / string correspondence"*, Nucl.Phys. B636, 99 (2002), hep-th/0204051.

[10] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Multi-spin string solutions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ "*, Nucl. Phys. B668, 77 (2003), hep-th/0304255. • G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, *"Spinning strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and integrable systems"*, Nucl. Phys. B671, 3 (2003), hep-th/0307191.

[11] F. Passerini, J. Plefka, G. W. Semenoff and D. Young, *"On the Spectrum of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ String at large lambda"*, JHEP 1103, 046 (2011), arxiv:1012.4471.

[12] S. Frolov, M. Heinze, G. Jorjadze and J. Plefka, *"Static gauge and energy spectrum of single-mode strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ "*, J.Phys. A47, 085401 (2014), arxiv:1310.5052.

[13] G. Jorjadze, J. Plefka and J. Pollok, *"Bosonic String Quantization in Static Gauge"*, J.Phys. A45, 485401 (2012), arxiv:1207.4368.

[14] M. Heinze, *"Spectrum and Quantum Symmetries of the* $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ *Superstring"*, arxiv:1507.03005.

[15] M. Heinze, G. Jorjadze and L. Megrelidze, *"Isometry Group Orbit Quantization of Spinning Strings in* $AdS_3 \times S^3$*"*, J.Phys. A48, 125401 (2015), arxiv:1410.3428.

[16] G. Jorjadze, L. O'Raifeartaigh and I. Tsutsui, *"Quantization of a relativistic particle on the SL(2,R) manifold based on Hamiltonian reduction"*, Phys.Lett. B336, 388 (1994), hep-th/9407059.

[17] M. Heinze, B. Hoare, G. Jorjadze and L. Megrelidze, *"Orbit method quantization of the* AdS_2 *superparticle"*, J. Phys. A48, 315403 (2015), arxiv:1504.04175.

[18] M. Heinze and G. Jorjadze, *"Quantization of the* AdS_3 *Superparticle on* $\mathrm{OSP}(1|2)^2/\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$*"*, arxiv:1610.03519.

[19] K. Zarembo, *"Strings on Semisymmetric Superspaces"*, JHEP 1005, 002 (2010), arxiv:1003.0465.

[20] T. Klose, *"Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.3: N=6 Chern-Simons and Strings on AdS4xCP3"*, Lett. Math. Phys. 99, 401 (2012), arxiv:1012.3999.

[21] D. Sorokin, A. Tseytlin, L. Wulff and K. Zarembo, *"Superstrings in AdS(2)xS(2)xT(6)"*, J.Phys. A44, 275401 (2011), arxiv:1104.1793. • A. Cagnazzo, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, *"More on integrable structures of superstrings in AdS(4) x CP(3) and AdS(2) x S(2) x T(6) superbackgrounds"*, JHEP 1201, 004 (2012), arxiv:1111.4197.

[22] J. R. David and B. Sahoo, *"Giant magnons in the D1-D5 system"*, JHEP 0807, 033 (2008), arxiv:0804.3267. • A. Babichenko, B. Stefanski, Jr. and K. Zarembo, *"Integrability and the AdS(3)/CFT(2) correspondence"*, JHEP 1003, 058 (2010), arxiv:0912.1723.

[23] A. Sfondrini, *"Towards integrability for* $\mathrm{AdS}_{\mathbf{3}}/\mathrm{CFT}_{\mathbf{2}}$*"*, J. Phys. A48, 023001 (2015), arxiv:1406.2971.

[24] A. Galajinsky, *"Particle dynamics near extreme Kerr throat and supersymmetry"*, JHEP 1011, 126 (2010), arxiv:1009.2341. • A. Galajinsky and K. Orekhov, *"N=2 superparticle near horizon of extreme Kerr-Newman-AdS-dS black hole"*, Nucl.Phys. B850, 339 (2011), arxiv:1103.1047. • S. Bellucci and S. Krivonos, *"N=2 supersymmetric particle near extreme Kerr throat"*, JHEP 1110, 014 (2011), arxiv:1106.4453.

[25] S. Krivonos and O. Lechtenfeld, *"Many-particle mechanics with D(2,1:alpha) superconformal symmetry"*, JHEP 1102, 042 (2011), arxiv:1012.4639. • N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Nersessian, *"Higher-derivative N=4 superparticle in three-dimensional spacetime"*, Phys. Rev. D89, 045013 (2014), arxiv:1311.4540. • N. Kozyrev, S. Krivonos and O. Lechtenfeld, *"Higher-derivative superparticle in* AdS_3 *space"*, Phys. Rev. D93, 065024 (2016), arxiv:1601.01906.

[26] A. Galajinsky and O. Lechtenfeld, *"Superconformal SU(1, 1—n) mechanics"*, JHEP 1609, 114 (2016), arxiv:1606.05230.

[27] C. Fronsdal, *"Elementary particles in a curved space. ii"*, Phys. Rev. D10, 589 (1974).

[28] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, *"Stability in Gauged Extended Supergravity"*, Annals Phys. 144, 249 (1982).

[29] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *"Large N field theories, string theory and gravity"*, Phys. Rept. 323, 183 (2000), hep-th/9905111.

[30] H. Dorn and G. Jorjadze, *"On particle dynamics in AdS(N+1) space-time"*, Fortsch.Phys. 53, 486 (2005), hep-th/0502081.

[31] H. Dorn and G. Jorjadze, *"Oscillator quantization of the massive scalar particle dynamics on ads spacetime"*, Phys.Lett. B625, 117 (2005), hep-th/0507031.

[32] H. Dorn, G. Jorjadze, C. Kalousios and J. Plefka, *"Coordinate representation of particle dynamics in AdS and in generic static spacetimes"*, J.Phys. A44, 095402 (2011), arxiv:1011.3416. • G. Jorjadze, C. Kalousios and Z. Kepuladze, *"Quantization of AdS x S particle in static gauge"*, Class.Quant.Grav. 30, 025015 (2013), arxiv:1208.3833.